

Seminars and Original Investigations (Internet) 2017; Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=shib&custid=s1240919&profile=eds>

8. **Loeb S, Vellekoop A, Ahmed HU, et al.** Systematic review of complications of prostate biopsy. *Eur Urol* 2013; 64(6): 876–892.
9. **Loeb S, Carter HB, Berndt SI, Ricker W, Schaeffer EM.** Complications after prostate biopsy: data from SEER-Medicare. *J Urol* 2011; 186(5): 1830–1834.
10. **Loeb S, Carter HB, Berndt SI, Ricker W, Schaeffer EM.** Is repeat prostate biopsy associated with a greater risk of hospitalization? Data from SEER-Medicare. *J Urol* 2013; 189(3): 867–870.
11. **Zisman A, Leibovici D, Kleinmann J, Siegel YI, Lindner A.** The impact of prostate biopsy on patient well-being: a prospective study of pain, anxiety and erectile dysfunction. *J Urol* 2001; 165(2): 445–454.
12. **Wade J, Rosario DJ, Macefield RC, et al.** Psychological impact of prostate biopsy: physical symptoms, anxiety, and depression. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; 31(33): 4235–4241.
13. **Bárta J, Rýznarová Z, Klézl P, et al.** Postavení magnetické rezonance a magnetické rezonanční spektroskopie při detekci karcinomu prostaty. *Ces Uro* 2010; 14(3): 186–196.
14. **Yoo S, Kim JK, Jeong IG.** Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for prostate cancer: a review and update for urologists. *Korean J Urol* 2015; 56(7): 487–497.
15. **Bomers JGR, Barentsz JO.** Standardization of multiparametric prostate MR imaging using PI-RADS. *Biomed Res Int* 2014; 2014: 431680.
16. **Shah ZK, Elias SN, Abaza R, et al.** Performance comparison of 1.5-T endorectal coil MRI with 3.0-T nonendorectal coil MRI in patients with prostate cancer. *Acad Radiol* 2015; 22(4): 467–474.
17. **Park BK, Kim B, Kim CK, Lee HM, Kwon GY.** Comparison of phased-array 3.0-T and endorectal 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging in the evaluation of local staging accuracy for prostate cancer. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2007; 31(4): 534–538.
18. **Weinreb JC, Barentsz JO, Choyke PL, et al.** PI-RADS Prostate Imaging – Reporting and Data System: 2015, Version 2. *Eur Urol* 2016; 69(1): 16–40.
19. **Turkbey B, Merino MJ, Gallardo EC, et al.** Comparison of endorectal coil and nonendorectal coil T2W and diffusion-weighted MRI at 3 Tesla for localizing prostate cancer: correlation with whole-mount histopathology. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2014; 39(6): 1443–1448.
20. **Thompson JE, van Leeuwen PJ, Moses D, et al.** The diagnostic performance of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging to detect significant prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2016; 195(5): 1428–1435.
21. **Fütterer JJ, Briganti A, De Visschere P, et al.** Can clinically significant prostate cancer be detected with multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging? a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Urol* 2015; 68(6): 1045–1053.
22. **Simmons LAM, Kanthabalan A, Arya M, et al.** The PICTURE study: diagnostic accuracy of multiparametric MRI in men requiring a repeat prostate biopsy. *Br J Cancer* 2017; 116(9): 1159–1165.
23. **Ahmed HU, El-Shater Bosaily A, et al.** Diagnostic accuracy of multi-parametric MRI and TRUS biopsy in prostate cancer (PROMIS): a paired validating confirmatory study. *Lancet* 2017; 389(10071): 815–822.
24. **Turkbey B, Pinto PA, Mani H, et al.** Prostate cancer: value of multiparametric MR imaging at 3 T for detection–histopathologic correlation. *Radiology* 2010; 255(1): 89–99.
25. **Feng TS, Sharif-Afshar AR, Smith SC, et al.** Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging localizes established extracapsular extension of prostate cancer. *Urol Oncol* 2015; 33(3): 109.e15–22.
26. **Turkbey B, Choyke PL.** PIRADS 2.0: what is new? *Diagn Interv Radiol* 2015; 21(5): 382–384.
27. **Quentin M, Blondin D, Arsov C, et al.** Prospective evaluation of magnetic resonance imaging guided in-bore prostate biopsy versus systematic transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy in biopsy naïve men with elevated prostate specific antigen. *J Urol* 2014; 192(5): 1374–1379.