


10. van Hove A, Savoie PH, Maurin C, et al. Comparison of image-guided targeted biopsies versus systematic randomized biopsies in the detection of prostate cancer: a systematic literature review of well-designed studies. *World J Urol.* 2014; 32(4): 847–858.
11. Wegelin O, van Melick HHE, et al. Comparing three different techniques for magnetic resonance imaging-targeted prostate biopsies: a systematic review of In-bore versus magnetic resonance imaging-transrectal ultrasound fusion versus cognitive registration. Is there a preferred technique? *Eur Urol.* 2017; 71(4): 517–531.
12. Wysock JS, Rosenkrantz AB, Huang WC, et al. A prospective, blinded comparison of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging-ultrasound fusion and visual estimation in the performance of MR-targeted prostate biopsy: the PROFUS trial. *Eur Urol.* 2014; 66(2): 343–351.
13. Puech P, Rouviere O, Renard-Penna R, et al. Prostate cancer diagnosis: multiparametric MR-targeted biopsy with cognitive and transrectal US-MR fusion guidance versus systematic biopsy--prospective multicenter study. *Radiology.* 2013; 268(2): 461–469.
14. Jelidi A, Ohana M, Labani A, et al. Prostate cancer diagnosis: efficacy of a simple electromagnetic MRI-TRUS fusion method to target biopsies. *Eur J Radiol.* 2017; 86: 127–134.
15. Ahmed HU, El-Shater Bosaily A, Brown LC, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of multi-parametric MRI and TRUS biopsy in prostate cancer (PROMIS): a paired validating confirmatory study. *Lancet.* 2017; 389(10071): 815–822.
16. Baco E, Rud E, Eri LM, et al. A randomized controlled trial to assess and compare the outcomes of two-core prostate biopsy guided by fused magnetic resonance and transrectal ultrasound images and traditional 12-core systematic biopsy. *Eur Urol.* 2016; 69(1): 149–156.
17. Cash H, Gunzel K, Maxeiner A, et al. Prostate cancer detection on transrectal ultrasonography-guided random biopsy despite negative real-time magnetic resonance imaging/ultrasonography fusion-guided targeted biopsy: reasons for targeted biopsy failure. *BJU Int.* 2016; 118(1): 35–43.



Soutěž ČUS



Všechny původní vědecké práce publikované v časopise Česká urologie v roce 2018 budou do soutěže zařazeny automaticky. Podmínkou zařazení je, že první autor bude urolog.

Vítězné práce budou vyhlášeny na Výroční konferenci ČUS 2019.

Následně budou výsledky zveřejněny v časopisu Česká urologie a na webových stránkách ČUS.