

3. Rosenthal DL, Wojcik EM, Kurtycz DFI. The Paris System for Reporting Urinary Cytology. Switzerland Springer; 2016.
4. Pivovarčíková K, Pitra T, Hora M, Švajdler M, Hes O. Aktuální pohled na močovou cytologii: co by měl urolog vědět? *Ces Urol*. 2018; 22(4): 242-250.
5. Mostofi FK, Sobin LH, Torloni H. International histological classification of tumors. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1973.
6. Moch H, Humphrey PA, Ulbright TM, Reuter VE. WHO classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs. Lyon: IARC; 2016.
7. Blick CG, Nazir SA, Mallett S, et al. Evaluation of diagnostic strategies for bladder cancer using computed tomography (CT) urography, flexible cystoscopy and voided urine cytology: results for 778 patients from a hospital haematuria clinic. *BJU Int*. 2012; 110(1): 84–94.
8. Koss LG, Deitch D, Ramanathan R, Sherman AB. Diagnostic value of cytology of voided urine. *Acta Cytol*. 1985; 29(5): 810–816.
9. Raab SS, Grzybicki DM, Vrbin CM, Geisinger KR. Urine cytology discrepancies: frequency, causes, and outcomes. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2007; 127(6): 946–953.
10. Bastacky S, Ibrahim S, Wilczynski SP, Murphy WM. The accuracy of urinary cytology in daily practice. *Cancer* 1999; 87(3): 118–128.
11. Hermansen DK, Badalament RA, Bretton PR, et al. Voided urine flow cytometry in screening high-risk patients for the presence of bladder cancer. *J Occup Med*. 1990; 32(9): 894–897.
12. Badalament RA, Kimmel M, Gay H, et al. The sensitivity of flow cytometry compared with conventional cytology in the detection of superficial bladder carcinoma. *Cancer* 1987; 59(12): 2078–2085.
13. Badalament RA, Hermansen DK, Kimmel M, et al. The sensitivity of bladder wash flow cytometry, bladder wash cytology, and voided cytology in the detection of bladder carcinoma. *Cancer* 1987; 60(7): 1423–1427.
14. Planz B, Jochims E, Deix T, et al. The role of urinary cytology for detection of bladder cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2005; 31(3): 304–308.
15. Rohilla M, Singh P, Rajwanshi A, et al. Cytohistological correlation of urine cytology in a tertiary centre with application of the Paris system. *Cytopathology* 2018; doi: 10.1111/cyt.12604. [Epub ahead of print].
16. Tan WS, Sarpong R, Khetrapal P, et al. Does urinary cytology have a role in haematuria investigations? *BJU Int*. 2018; doi: 10.1111/bju.14459. [Epub ahead of print].
17. Zhang ML, Rosenthal DL, VandenBussche CJ. The cytomorphological features of low-grade urothelial neoplasms vary by specimen type. *Cancer Cytopathol*. 2016; 124 (8): 552–564.
18. Chu YC, Han JY, Han HS, Kim JM, Suh JK. Cytologic evaluation of low grade transitional cell carcinoma and instrument artifact in bladder washings. *Acta Cytol*. 2002; 46(2): 341–348.
19. Keller AK, Jensen JB. Voided urine versus bladder washing cytology for detection of urothelial carcinoma: which is better? *Scand J Urol*. 2017; 51(4): 290–292.
20. Messer J, Shariat SF, Brien JC, et al. Urinary cytology has a poor performance for predicting invasive or high-grade upper-tract urothelial carcinoma. *BJU Int*. 2011; 108(5): 701–705.
21. Dev HS, Poo S, Armitage J, et al. Investigating upper urinary tract urothelial carcinomas: a single-centre 10-year experience. *World J Urol*. 2017; 35(1): 131–138.
22. Zhang ML, Rosenthal DL, VandenBussche CJ. Upper urinary tract washings outperform voided urine specimens to detect upper tract high-grade urothelial carcinoma. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2017; 45(8): 700–704.
23. Zincke H, Aguilo JJ, Farrow GM, Utz DC, Khan AU. Significance of urinary cytology in the early detection of transitional cell cancer of the upper urinary tract. *J Urol*. 1976; 116(6): 781–783.