6. Ficarra V, Novara G, Secco S, et al. Preoperative aspects and dimensions used for an anatomical (padua) classification of renal tumours in patients who are candidates for nephron-sparing surgery. European urology 2009; 56(5): 786–793.
7. Sobin lHM, Gospodariwicz A, Wittekind C. Renal neoplasms. In: l.h. sobin, m. Gospodariwicz a c. Wittekind, ed. Tnm classification of malignant tumors. 7th vyd. B.m.: Wiley-Blackwell 2009: 255–257.
8. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Annals of surgery 2004; 240(2): 205–213.
9. Carneiro A, Sivaraman A, Sanchez-Salas R, et al. Evolution from laparoscopic to robotic nephron sparing surgery: a high-volume laparoscopic center experience on achieving „trifecta" outcomes. World journal of urology 2015; 33(12): 2039–2044.
10. Khalifeh A, Autorino R, Hillyer SP, et al. Comparative outcomes and assessment of trifecta in 500 robotic and laparoscopic partial nephrectomy cases: a single surgeon experience. The journal of urology 2013; 189(4): 1236–1242.
11. Porpiglia F, Bertolo R, Amparore D, Fiori C. Margins, ischaemia and complications rate after laparoscopic partial nephrectomy: impact of learning curve and tumour anatomical characteristics. Bju International 2013; 112(8): 1125–1132.
12. Vachek J, Zakiyanov O, Tesař V. Chronické onemocnění ledvin. Internal medicine for practice 2012; 14(3): 107–110.
13. Cacciamani GE, Gill T, Medina L, et al. Impact of host factors on robotic partial nephrectomy outcomes: comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of urology 2018; 200(4): 716–730.
14. Kang M, Gong IH, Park HJ, et al. Predictive factors for achieving superior pentafecta outcomes following robot-assisted partial nephrectomy in patients with localized renal cell carcinoma. Journal of endourology 2017; 31(12): 1231–1236.
15. Rosen DC, Kannappan M, Kim Y, et al. The impact of obesity in patients undergoing robotic partial nephrectomy. Journal of endourology 2019; 33(6): 431–437.
16. Dagenais J, Bertolo R, Garisto J, et al. Variability in partial nephrectomy outcomes: does your surgeon matter? European urology 2019; 75(4): 628–634.
17. Khene ZE, Peyronnet B, Bernhard JCH, et al. A preoperative nomogram to predict major complications after robot assisted partial nephrectomy (uroccr-57 study). Urologic oncology 2019.
18. Garisto J, Bertolo RJ. Robotic versus open partial nephrectomy for highly complex renal masses: comparison of perioperative, functional, and oncological outcomes. Urologic oncology 2018; 36(10): 471.e1–471.e9.
19. Ficarra V, Rossanese M, Gnech M, Novara G, Mottrie A. Outcomes and limitations of laparoscopic and robotic partial nephrectomy. Current opinion in urology 2014; 24(5): 441–447.
20. Long JA, Yakoubi R, Lee B, et al. Robotic versus laparoscopic partial nephrectomy for complex tumors: comparison of perioperative outcomes. European urology 2012; 61(6): 1257–1262.
21. Mehra K, Manikandan R, Dorairajan LN, et al. Trifecta outcomes in open, laparoscopy or robotic partial nephrectomy: does the surgical approach matter? Journal of kidney cancer and vhl 2019; 6(1): 8–12.
22. Chang KD, Raheem AA, Kim KH, et al. Functional and oncological outcomes of open, laparoscopic and robot-assisted partial nephrectomy: a multicentre comparative matched-pair analyses with a median of 5 years' follow-up. Bju international 2018; 122(4): 618–626.
23. Alimi Q, Peyronnet B, Sebe P, et al. Comparison of short-term functional, oncological, and perioperative outcomes between laparoscopic and robotic partial nephrectomy beyond the learning curve. Journal of laparoendoscopic & advanced surgical techniques. Part a 2018; 28(9): 1047–1052.
24. Marconi L, Desai MM, Ficarra V, Porpiglia F, Poppel HV. Renal preservation and partial nephrectomy: patient and surgical factors. European urology focus 2016; 2(6): 589–600.