

LITERATURA

1. Fiala V, Sobotka R, Vařová Z, et al. Zkušenosti s použitím indexu zdraví prostaty v klinické praxi. *Ces Urol* 2017; 21(4): 284–288.
2. Ryšánková K, Bartoš V, Krhut J, et al. (-2)proPSA a Index zdravé prostaty (PHI) v predikci výskytu karcinomu prostaty v transrektálních biopsiích. *Ces Urol* 2018; 22(1): 40–47.
3. Hansen NL, Barrett T, Koo B, et al. The influence of prostate-specific antigen density on positive and negative predictive values of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging to detect Gleason score 7–10 prostate cancer in a repeat biopsy setting. *BJU Int.* 2017; 119(5): 724–730.
4. Distler FA, Radtke JP, Bonekamp D, et al. The Value of PSA Density in Combination with PI-RADSTM for the Accuracy of Prostate Cancer Prediction. *J Urol.* 2017; 198(3): 575–582.
5. Zaleský M, Stejskal J, Adamcová V, et al. Možnosti využití MRI a PSA denzity v indikaci biopsie prostaty. *Ces Urol* [Internet]. 2019; Dostupné z: <https://czechurolog.cz/artkey/cur-000000-0204.php>.
6. Murciano-Goroff YR, Wolfsberger LD, Parekh A, et al. Variability in MRI vs. ultrasound measures of prostate volume and its impact on treatment recommendations for favorable-risk prostate cancer patients: a case series. *Radiat Oncol Lond Engl* [Internet]. 9. září 2014 [citován 18. březen 2019]; 9. Dostupné z: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4261899/>.
7. Watanabe H, Igari D, Tanahashi Y, Harada K, Saitoh M. Transrectal ultrasonotomography of the prostate. *J Urol.* 1975; 114(5): 734–739.
8. Eri LM, Thomassen H, Brennhovd B, Håheim LL. Accuracy and repeatability of prostate volume measurements by transrectal ultrasound. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic, DiS.* 2002; 5(4): 273.
9. Azulay D-OD, Murphy P, Graham J. The accuracy of prostate volume measurement from ultrasound images: A quasi-Monte Carlo simulation study using magnetic resonance imaging. *Comput Med Imaging Graph.* 2013; 37(7): 628–635.
10. Chung JWNCHF, Vries SH de, Raaijmakers R, Postma R, Bosch JLHR, Mastrigt R van. Prostate Volume Ultrasonography: The Influence of Transabdominal versus Transrectal Approach, Device Type and Operator. *Eur Urol.* 2004; 46(3): 352–356.
11. Bienz M, Hueber P-A, Al-Hathal N, et al. Accuracy of Transrectal Ultrasonography to Evaluate Pathologic Prostate Weight: Correlation With Various Prostate Size Groups. *Urology* 2014; 84(1): 169–174.
12. Nunez-Nateras R, et al. Accuracy of ultrasound in estimation of prostate weight: comparison of urologists and radiologists. *Can J Urol* 2010; 17(1): 4985–4988.
13. Hricak H, Jeffrey RB, Doms GC, Tanagho EA. Evaluation of prostate size: A comparison of ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. *Urol Radiol* 1988; 9(1): 1.
14. Chernyak V, Flusberg M, Kurteva T, Ghavamian R, Rozenblit AM. Accuracy of prostate measurements on MRI with and without an endorectal coil. *Clin Imaging.* 2015; 39(1): 85–88.
15. Terris MK, Stamey TA. Determination of prostate volume by transrectal ultrasound. *J Urol* 1991; 145(5): 984–987.
16. Lee JS, Chung BH. Transrectal ultrasound versus magnetic resonance imaging in the estimation of prostate volume as compared with radical prostatectomy specimens. *Urol Int.* 2007; 78(4): 323–327.
17. Weiss BE, Wein AJ, Malkowicz SB, Guzzo TJ. Comparison of prostate volume measured by transrectal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging: is transrectal ultrasound suitable to determine which patients should undergo active surveillance? *Urol Oncol* 2013; 31(8): 1436–1440.
18. Christie DRH, Sharpley CF. How Accurately Can Prostate Gland Imaging Measure the Prostate Gland Volume? Results of a Systematic Review. *Prostate Cancer* 20903111; 2019: 1–12.
19. Osman M, Shebel H, Sankineni S, et al. Whole Prostate Volume and Shape Changes with the Use of an Inflatable and Flexible Endorectal Coil. *Radiol Res Pract* 2014: 1–6.
20. Paterson NR, Lavallée LT, Nguyen LN, et al. Prostate volume estimations using magnetic resonance imaging and transrectal ultrasound compared to radical prostatectomy specimens. *Can Urol Assoc J.* 2016; 10(7–8): 264–268.